UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,291	04/07/2006	Timothy Tak Yip	035394-0292	2464
22428 7590 12/30/2009 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 500	T NIVI	LIN, JERRY		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/30/2009	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/539,291	YIP ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		JERRY LIN	1631			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)☑	Pasnonsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Sc	entember 2000				
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 September 2009</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.					
′=	<i>/</i>					
•	•					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-102</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-83 and 88-102</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	is/are allowed.					
	∑ Claim(s) <u>84-87</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
0)[	Ciain(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 June 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
_	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:	ate			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicants' arguments and amendments, filed September 18, 2009, have been fully considered and they are not deemed to be persuasive. The following rejections are reiterated and modified as necessitated by amendment. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

#### Status of the Claims

Claims 84-87 and biomarker WM-447 are under examination.

Claims 1-83 and 88-102 are withdrawn.

# **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: the label of "Figure 1C" is missing from the drawings. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 84-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Applicants have amended the claims to a "computer-readable medium". Given that the specification does not specifically define a "computer-readable medium", the term is interpreted to also include carrier waves. However, carrier waves are non-statutory *per se*. Thus, the instant claims are non-statutory.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicants have responded to this rejection by amending the claims and presenting arguments. The amendments are addressed above. Previously, the instant claims had been rejected as solely drawn to a computer program, which is non-statutory per se, and for not having a useful, concrete, and tangible result. Applicants have amended the claims to address the rejection based on the rationale that the instant claims are solely drawn to a computer program. Applicant also argued that the inquiry into whether the instant claims have a useful, concrete, and tangible result is

Application/Control Number: 10/539,291 Page 4

Art Unit: 1631

insufficient. The Examiner has withdrawn the rejection based on whether the instant claims have a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

This rejection is maintained and modified as necessitated by amendment.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### **Contact Information**

Application/Control Number: 10/539,291 Page 5

Art Unit: 1631

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JERRY LIN whose telephone number is (571)272-2561. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-6:30pm, M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marjorie A. Moran can be reached on (571) 272-0720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jerry Lin/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631 12/28/09